THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) to (e) The information is being collected, and will be placed on the Table of the House.

Anomaly in Pay-Scales of LDCs/PDSs

5980. SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL alias MEEM AFZAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the wide spread resentment among LDCs|UDCs working in the Central Government Departments due to anomaly in their pay-scales;

(b) whether Government have received any representations regarding revision of their pay-scale from Rs. 950 to Rs. 1200 and from Rs. 1200 to Rs. 1400 respectively; and

(c) if so, what decision Government have taken in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) The proposals for revision of paly scales of LDCs and UDCs working in the Central Secretariat were received. The case pertaining to UDCs was discussed in the Departmental Council of the Department of Personnel and Training, and disagreement was recorded.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) In view of the position stated at (a) above, the matter regarding UDCs has been referred to the Board of Arbitration where it is pending. In the case of LDCs, it has been decided that the matter should be referred to the Fifth Pay Commission for their consideration.

Revision of pay scale of U.D.Cs

5981. SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL alias MEEM AFZAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what are the details of financial implications and adverse repercussions, Government have assessed if the existing pay scale of UDCs in Central Secretariat is revised.

(b) whether similar financial implications and adverse repercussions were also experienced in case of revision of pay scale of Assistant of Cental Secretariat;

(c) if so, what are the reasons for such revision and in what manner the said revision was carried out without affecting financial aspect adversely;

(d) whether the possible repercussion was positively adjusted in case of revision of pay scale of Assistants in Central Secretariat; if so, what are the reasons for not achieving the same in case of revision of pay scale for UDCs in Central Secretariat;

(e) whether the matter has been referred to the Board of Arbitration;

(f) if not, the reasons therefor; and

(g) how Government propose to bring down wide difference between pay scales of UDCs and Assistants to a reasonable level?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) to (d) The considerations on which pay scale Assistants was revised do not obtain in the case of pay scale of UDCs. In pursuant to orders dated 23.5.1989 of Central Administrative Tribunal Principal Bench, New Delhi; in OA No. 1538|87; the pay scale of Assistants was reviewed to set right an anomaly Additional expenditure which was likely to be incurred due to that revision was also taken into account.

(e) Yes, Sir.

(f) Does not arise.

(g) Does not arise in view of the position stated above in reply to parts (a) to (d).

Receipt of Swiss Bank Documentr

5982. SHRI P. UPENDRA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 1481 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 3rd March, 1994 and state:

(a) whether Government have since received the Swiss Bank documents, with names of Bofors kickback recipients; and

(b) what action Government propose to take in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) and (b) There is no change in the position as intimated to Rajya Sabha vide reply to Unstarred Question No. 1491 dated 3.3.94 (copy enclosed). The documents are still awaited from Switzerand.

Impeachment of Retired Officer for missuse of Power while in service

5983. SHRI O. P. KOHLI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(c) whether the supreme Court has ruled that a person who served as Chairman of a Public Service Commission can be impeached for misuse of his position even after his retirement:

(b) if so, the details thereof and the action taken thereon; and

(c) whether there is any proposal to follow suit in tht case of senior officers of Government of India who are found having abused their official position while in service?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTER OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) and (b) The Supreme Court in its order of 18th February, 1994 in the Special Reference made by the President of India under Article 317(1) of the Constitution against Shri Meghachandra Singh, then Chairman, Manipur Public Service Commission, has drected completion of the inquiry contemplated under Article 317 of the Constitution as to the facts so as to enable the Court to pronounce upon the question whether the allegations made against the Chairman of the Manipur Public Service Commission are proved. The Court has not, however, categorically ruled that the person who has served as Chairman/ Member of the Public Service Commission can be impeached even after his retirement is suggested in this part of the question.

(c) Suitable instructons already exist in the relevant penson rules for withholding of pension or gratuity or both, fully or in part, either permanently or for a specified period, for acts of misconduct or negligence of the pensioner during the period of his service subject to the conditions specified in the relevant rules.

Deputation allowance as part of pay

5984. SHRI SATCHIDANANDA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the deputation allowance is not being treated as part of pay for the purpose of pension, gratuity and other benefits now;

(b) whether it is also a fact that till the Third pay Commission the deputation allowance used to be considered as part of pay;

(c) if so, the reasons for not continuing the same thereafter; and